



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,718	03/25/2004	Gerrit Reinold J. Melles	05032-00052	5646
22910	7590	08/05/2008		
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28 STATE STREET 28th FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02109-9601			EXAMINER MILLER, SAMANTHA A	
			ART UNIT 3749	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/05/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/808,718	Applicant(s) MELLES, GERRIT REINOLD J.	
	Examiner SAMANTHA A. MILLER	Art Unit 3749	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Pre-Appeal decision filed on 6/5/2008 and is to take the place of the 10/17/2007 action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 19-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howorth (3,602,213) in view of van der Waaji (3,893,457).

Howorth teaches:

1. An operation unit (Fig.1) (col.1 l.24), comprising a wall (col.1 l.25) and an air flow unit (b1) (col.1 ll.27-29), said air flow unit being provided with means for filtering (d) said air (col.2 ll.3-6 and ll.30-31) (Fig.3), said wall being provided with at least one opening (col.1 ll.44-47) and a cover, covering at least part of said opening (a2) (col.1 ll.40-43), said air flow unit comprising at least one air outlet opening (B) for directing air from said air flow unit (b1) over said cover (col.2 ll.20-26),
2. At least two side walls (col.1 l.25), a roof element (a1) and a back wall (a3) are provided for enclosing a space in which at least said air outlet (B) and said air inlet (b) are provided, said space being sufficient for enclosing at least a person (col.1 ll.44-61) (Fig.1 and 3).

3. The operation unit is at least partly foldable (being made of draped material a2 and lower panels hinged to upper panels) (col.1 ll.48-55).

4. The sidewalls are foldable over and/or against said flow unit (b) (hooked on rails in ceiling can fold up) (col.2 l.44-47), the back wall preferably being foldable (lower panel hinged and drape material) (col.1 ll.59-61).

5. The cover is substantially made of cloth/sheet, especially a surgery blanket/sheet (col.1 ll.40-43) (Fig.3).

6. The opening is dimensioned such that at least an end of a hospital bed, stretcher or the like can be inserted through said opening under said cover (col.1 ll.45-47).

7. The opening is dimensioned such that an extremity of a person can be inserted at least partly through said opening under said cover (col.1 ll.45-47).

8. The outlet opening (B) extends over at least the width of said at least one opening (Fig.1 shows multiple B extending width wise) (Fig.3 shows size of opening width wise).

9. The outflow direction of said outflow opening is directed downward in a direction away from said wall/drape (a2) (col.2 ll.34-37).

12. At least part of said wall and preferably part of said wall and at least part of side walls and a back wall of said unit are made transparent (col.1 l.25).

14. The air flow unit (b1) is provided near the upper end of said wall and is connected to the air outlet (B) by a first connecting pipe (B duct) and to the air inlet (b) by a second connecting pipe (b duct) (Fig.1), said connecting pipes being provided near

either side of said wall, preferably such that said connecting pipes and said air flow unit form part of a frame of said operation unit (Fig.1).

Regarding Claims 19-27 and 29-31, refer to the rejection of claims 1-18.

28. The at least one air outlet opening (B) or series of air outlet openings (B) extend over the width of the cover (Fig.1-2).

Howorth teaches the invention as discussed above. However, Howorth does not teach a foldable roof element, wheels, or air inlet means provided for retracting air from within said operation unit to said air flow unit.

van der Waaij teaches:

Regarding claims 1 and 19, air inlet means (3) provided for retracting air from within said operation unit (the area formed around the table (11) by the cabinet (1) curtain (15)) to said air flow unit (within 15) (col.2 ll.5-13 and 44-62) (Fig.2), air inlet () means (2) preferably being provided for retracting air (from the operation area) to said air flow unit (col.2 ll.51-62) (Fig.1).

4. The sidewalls (15) and roof element (14) are foldable over and/or against said flow unit (1) (col.4 ll.34-43).

10. The air inlet opening (3) is situated lower than said outflow opening (5) (Fig.1).

11. The openings (3) are provided near the ground for connection of an inner space (1) of said unit and the surrounding (Fig.1).

13. The unit (1) is provided with wheels for easy displacement of said unit (col.3 ll.42-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the operation unit of Howorth in view of the teaching of van der Waaij in order to be adapted to be placed at on extremity of an arbitrary existing operation table and to close the operating space to external air and provide germ free air (van der Waaij, col.3 ll.42-51 and col.4 ll.34-43).

Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howorth (3,602,213) in view of van der Waaij (3,893,457) in further view of WIEDNER (5,860,420).

Howorth in view of van der Waaij teaches the invention as discussed above and Howorth further teaches:

15. A method for preparing a person for surgery, in which said person is positioned on a bed, stretcher, chair or the like supporting structure, especially a cloth/sheet,

16. The air is re-circulated through a flow unit filtering said air for sterilization purposes (col.2 ll.31-40).

17. The part of said body is moved through an opening in a wall of an operation unit comprising a wall and an air flow unit, said air flow unit being provided with means for filtering said air, said wall being provided with at least one opening and a cover, covering at least part of said opening, said air flow unit comprising at least one air outlet opening for directing air from said air flow unit over said cover,

18. A method for performing surgery on a person, in which said person is positioned on a bed, stretcher, chair or the like supporting structure, whereby part of the body of said person on which surgery is to be performed is moved through an opening under a cover, especially a cloth/sheet,

van der Waaij further teaches:

17. air inlet (3) means preferably being provided for retracting air to said air flow unit (col.2 ll.51-62) (Fig.1).

Howorth in view of van der Waaij teaches the invention as discussed above. However, Howorth in view of van der Waaij does not teach an opening being provided in said cloth disclosing an operation area.

WIEDNER teaches:

15. whereby part of the body of said person on which surgery is to be performed is moved through an opening under a cover (col.8 ll.56-62), an opening being provided in said cloth disclosing an operation area, a flow of substantially sterile air being guided over said cover and at least said operating area (col.8 ll.56-62) (Fig.3).

18. an opening being provided in said cloth disclosing an operation area, a flow of substantially sterile air being guided over said cover and at least said operating area (col.2 ll.31-40) (Fig.3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the operation unit of Howorth in view of van der Waaij in further view of WIEDNER in order to retain sterile and clean conditions at an operation site (col.1 ll.9-10).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samantha A. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-9967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve McAllister can be reached on 571-272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3749

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samantha Miller
Examiner
Art Unit 3749

/Steven B. McAllister/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749
7/17/2008